

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/069,661		06/24/2002	Gerhard Thurow	10537/199	3538
26646	7590	12/01/2003		EXAM	INER
KENYON ONE BRO		YON	GRAHAM, MATTHEW C		
NEW YOR		0004	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3683	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. 18/069661 THUROW ET AZ.					
		Examíner GRAHAM 3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SH THE I - Extens mailing - If the I - If NO I - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status 1)X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	/19/2003					
2a) 🔼	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims						
		is/are pending in the application.					
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6)*	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s)						
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme	ent(s)						
1) Not	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							

Page ?

Application/Control Number: 10/069,661

Art Unit: 3683

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed on June 19, 2003.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkle '481 in view of Merkle '154.

See paragraph 6 in paper number 6, mailed 3/3/2003.

5. Claims 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Merkle '481, in view of Merkle '154 and GB 2,318,851.

Note the previous discussion in paragraph 6.

The claimed invention differs from Merkle '481, as modified, only in the inclusion of an accumulator connected to the bellows.

UK '851 shows accumulator 12 connected to bellows 2, 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/069,661

Art Unit: 3683

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have attached an accumulator to Merkle '481, as modified in view of the teaching of UK '851 as an accessory feature as taught by UK '851.

Re-claims 25-31, the recited features are readily apparent in the applied references.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 6/19/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Merkle '481 fails to show communication between the gas chamber and hydraulic accumulator. However, the claims recite "configured to communicate". The accumulator of Merkle '481 is configured to communicate mechanical vibrations from the air spring.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Mathew Graham at telephone number (703) 308-1113.

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 310